

PRISMA-ScR

ITEM 5: PROTOCOL AND REGISTRATION

Indicate whether a review protocol exists; state if and where it can be accessed (for example, a Web address); and if available, provide registration information, including the registration number.

Title	1	Title
Abstract	2	Structured summary
Introduction	3	Rationale
	4	Objectives
Methods	5	Protocol and registration
	6	Eligibility criteria
	7	Information sources
	8	Search
	9	Selection of sources of evidence
	10	Data charting process
	11	Data items
	12	Critical appraisal of individual sources of evidence
	13	Synthesis of results
	Results	14
15		Characteristics of sources evidence
16		Critical appraisal within sources of evidence
17		Results of individual sources of evidence
18		Synthesis of results
Discussion	19	Summary of evidence
	20	Limitations
	21	Conclusions
Funding	22	Funding



Tips for reporting this item:

- Must be developed a priori, and include information on how the protocol was developed
- Provide explicit details on how to access the protocol, including information on how to obtain a protocol that is not publicly available
- Cite the original review when performing an update of an existing review

Example:

“Our protocol was drafted using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P...), which was revised by the research team and members of Health Canada, and was disseminated through our programme’s Twitter account (@KT-Canada) and newsletter to solicit additional feedback. The final protocol was registered prospectively with the Open Science Framework on 6 September 2016 (<https://osf.io/kv9hu/>).”

Tricco AC, Zarin W, Lillie E, Pham B, Straus SE. Utility of social media and crowd-sourced data for pharmacovigilance: a scoping review protocol. *BMJ Open*. 2017; 7:e013474. [PMID: 28104709] doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013474

